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Crowdsourcing: an open call to a large group of people who self-select to participate

Crowds can be surprisingly intelligent, if opinions are levied with some expertise and without communication, then aggregated intelligently.

Design differently for intrinsically and extrinsically motivated crowds

Quality issues are best handled up front by identifying the strong contributors and gating them through
Last time

Parallel, independent contributions

But, this only works if the goal can be subdivided into modular components with few or no interdependencies.

Think filling out rows of a spreadsheet or taking argmax.
Today

Interdependent, integrated contributions

Think invention, engineering, or game design.
How?

There are fundamental differences between parallel and interdependent contribution structures.

We can't just make a movie or build Linux with parallel contributions.
Johnny Cash Project: crowdsourced music video
One frame per participant — beautiful, slightly anarchic
Star Wars Uncut: crowdsourced movie remake, 2hr long
One scene per participant — style whiplash
How?

There are fundamental differences between parallel and interdependent contributions. We can’t just make a movie or build Linux with parallel contributions.

So, how do we create complex outcomes with distributed online collaborations?

Topics:

- Workflows
- Peer production
- Convergence and coordinated adaptation
Workflows
Iterative crowd algorithm

[Little et al. 2009]
You (misspelled) (several) (words). Please spellcheck your work next time. I also notice a few grammatical mistakes. Overall your writing style is a bit too phoney. You do make some good (points), but they got lost amidst the (writing). (signature)
Automatic clustering generally helps separate different kinds of records that need to be edited differently, but it isn't perfect. Sometimes it creates more clusters than needed, because the differences in structure aren't important to the user's particular editing task. For example, if the user only needs to edit near the end of each line, then differences at the start of the line are largely irrelevant, and it isn't necessary to split based on those differences. Conversely, sometimes the clustering isn't fine enough, leaving heterogeneous clusters that must be edited one line at a time. One solution to this problem would be to let the user rearrange the clustering manually, perhaps using drag-and-drop to merge and split clusters. Clustering and selection generalization would also be improved by recognizing common text structure like URLs, filenames, email addresses, dates, times, etc.

Automatic clustering generally helps separate different kinds of records that need to be edited differently, but it isn't perfect. Sometimes it creates more clusters than needed, as structure differences aren't important to the editing task. For example, if the user only needs to edit near the end of each line, then differences at the start of the line are largely irrelevant. Conversely, sometimes the clustering isn't fine enough, leaving heterogeneous clusters that must be edited one line at a time. The user could solve this problem by merging and splitting clusters manually. Clustering and selection generalization would also be improved by recognizing common text structure like URLs, filenames, email addresses, dates, times, etc.
Find-Fix-Verify

[Bernstein et al. 2010]

Find-Fix-Verify is a design pattern for open-ended tasks.

Find a problem

Fix the problem

Verify each fix

- Soylent is a prototype...
- Soylent is a prototypes...
- Soylent is a prototype test...
Find

“Identify at least one area that can be shortened without changing the meaning of the paragraph.”

Fix

“Edit the highlighted section to shorten its length without changing the meaning of the paragraph.”

Verify

“Choose at least one rewrite that has style errors, and at least one rewrite that changes the meaning of the sentence.”
“Choose at least one rewrite that has style errors, and at least one rewrite that changes the meaning of the sentence.”

Keep suggestions that do not get voted out
Realtime crowdsourcing

[Lasecki et al. 2012]

Can crowds achieve real-time responses?

Could this lecture be live-captioned as I give it?

Shotgun sequencing algorithm (designed for gene alignments)

2.9s latency
Crowds of experts

Crowd workers
- microtask worker
- microtask worker
- microtask worker
- microtask worker
- microtask worker

Experts
- programmer
- designer
- video editor
- musician
- statistician
Flash Teams
[Retelny et al., UIST ’14]

Computationally-guided teams of crowd experts supported by lightweight team structures.
Input: high-level script outline
Output: ~15 second animated movie

Our example:
44:40 hours
$2381.32
Future of work

Crowdsourcing is a populist form of information work, but the technical infrastructure actively disempowers workers. [Irani and Silberman '13]

How do we design a future workplace that we want our children to join? [Kittur et al. '12]

One shorthand thought keep in mind: autonomy. And for whose benefit are these workflows?

More on this to come.
Peer production
What is peer production?

Crowdsourcing: making an open call to a large set of individuals who self-select into tasks

Peer production includes additional requirements… [Benkler 2009]

- Decentralized conception: many control the direction and outcome, not a traditional bureaucracy
- Diverse motivations: especially non-monetary incentives
- Results treated as a commons: the output is publicly available and generally non-rival (def: when I use it, it doesn’t reduce your ability to use it)
- No contracts: governance and work allocation isn’t handled through signed contracts
Benkler’s argument [2002] is that peer production outperforms traditional firms when there exists strong intrinsic motivation and work can be broken down into granular and easy-to-integrate tasks.
What role does leadership play in peer production?

While open-source projects and collaborative wikis sound very decentralized, in practice, leadership hierarchies emerge. [Benkler, Shaw and Hill 2016]

As a system grows, it’s harder to become an admin [Shaw & Hill 2014]
Governance models

BDFL: “Benevolent Dictator for Life” who makes all final decisions.

Examples: Ethereum, Django, Swift, Ruby, Pandas, Ubuntu, Linux, SciPy, Perl

Meritocracy: top contributors are granted decision-making rights. Policy decisions via committee vote.

Examples: Red Hat, all Apache projects

Issue: outspoken people get credit, disempowering many communities

Liberal contribution: allow as many contributors as possible, and use consensus-seeking for policy decisions

Examples: node.js and Rust
Convergence and coordinated adaptation
Limits of algorithmic coordination

So far, goals such as invention, production, and engineering have remained largely out of reach [Kittur et al. 2013]

Why?
Dominant architecture: algorithms

Modularize and pre-define all possible behaviors into workflows

Computation decides which behaviors are taken, when, and by whom; optimizes, error-checks, and combines submissions

[Little 2010]
[Dai and Weld 2010]
[Kittur 2011]
Limits of algorithmic coordination

Returning to the question: why have complex goals remained largely out of reach?

Open-ended, complex goals are fundamentally incompatible with a requirement to modularize and pre-define every behavior [Van de Ven, Delbecq, and Koenig 1976; Rittel and Weber 1973; Schön 1984]
Limits of crowdsourcing and peer production

“Peer production is limited not by the total cost or complexity of a project, but by its modularity.” [Benkler 2002]

“With the Linux kernel [...] we want to have a system which is as modular as possible. The open-source development model really requires this, because otherwise you can’t easily have people working in parallel.” [Torvalds 1999]
Interdependence and collective action remain challenging

The result: algorithmic, workflow-based architecture confines collaborations to goals so predictable that they can be entirely modularized and pre-defined.

But many valuable collective activities do not fit this criteria.
BLACK LIVES MATTER

#METOO
Tesla construction
Credit: @elonmusk on Twitter

UN climate change meeting
Credit: UNClimateChange on Flickr
Why are these challenging?

**Convergence:** crowds are excellent at generating ideas and at spreading awareness, but it's much more challenging for them to build consensus toward a single action.

(This was noted as a challenge that the Occupy movement faced.)
Convergence

The New York Times

Twitter Users Split on Boycott Over Platform’s Move Against Rose McGowan

The Washington Post

#WomenBoycottTwitter revives post-election conversations about the lack of solidarity among women

VOGUE

Why I’m Not Joining the Women Boycotting Twitter Today

MADAMENOIRE

Black Women Commandeer #WomenBoycottTwitter And Turn It Into A Celebration Of Ourselves With #WOCAffirmation
Convergence

Lauren@AnimeBoston
@laureeninspace

So let me get this straight: we're fed up with men silencing us, so we're going to just silence ourselves? #WomenBoycottTwitter
4:59 AM - Oct 13, 2017

Danielle Henderson
@knottyarn

Big ups to those participating in #WomenBoycottTwitter but the foundation of my feminism is about NOT being silenced.
6:54 AM - Oct 13, 2017

Elizabeth Minkel
@elizabethminkel

I think as a tool of economic activism, this thing was too slipshod to be effective—and that women of color are spot-on in their critiques.
6:25 AM - Oct 13, 2017

[Example via Niloufar Salehi]
Why are these challenging?

Coordinated adaptation: changing direction in sync with each other.

Crowds are excellent at executing pre-defined tasks, but it's much more challenging for them to continually re-evaluate goals and adapt in sync.
Hybrid peer production

Why is it that many successful peer production projects form traditional organizations to support their efforts?

MongoDB: MongoDB, Inc.
Ubuntu: Canonical

In reality, peer production struggles with tasks that traditional contract-based firms achieve (e.g., marketing, keeping release schedules, integrated contributions). So, hybridized models often support the community.

Example: plugging a USB drive into a Ubuntu machine
Flash Organizations
[Valentine et al., CHI ’17]

One approach to coordinated adaptation: structuring crowds as computationally-powered organizations, not algorithms

Diagram:
- Android app
- UX
- UI
- QA
- node.js server
- Video and website
Example flash organization
Example flash organization
Example flash organization
A Class in Two Acts

Act I: We Got This!
Creating bustling spaces rather than ghost towns
Designing norms and culture
Bootstrapping and prototyping
Growth and breadth
Designing for strong and weak ties
Group collaboration
Wisdom of the crowd
Crowdsourcing and peer production

Act II: We Don’t Got This.
Antisocial computing: mobs and trolls
Unintended consequences
Collective governance
Free speech, ethics, and content moderation
AIs in social environments
Future of work
Summary

Shifting from simple wisdom-of-the-crowd tasks requires much more than just a scaling up of ambition: it requires designing for interdependence.

Peer production — the term encompassing shared open work (e.g., Wikipedia, open source) is one powerful method for volunteer coordination. Workflows and algorithms offer another approach. Both have their issues.

Aiming higher means we will need to solve issues of convergence and coordinated adapatation.
Social Computing
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