dt + UX design thinking for user experience design + prototyping + evaluation

Usability Testing

刘哲明 Prof. James A. Landay Computer Science Department Stanford University

Autumn 2024 November 18, 2024

Hall of Fame or Shame?





(+)

10:25 ◀ ◀ Search	.ıl ≎ ■
<	\heartsuit \square $\textcircled{1}$
1 lb	Napa cabbages
4 cups	flour
3 tsp	salt
1 cup	water
10	Sichuan peppercorns
1	star anise
3 tbsp	water (hot)
1⁄2 OZ	ginger
2 oz	leeks
½ cup	chicken stock
3 tbsp	soy sauce
2 tbsp	sesame oil
¼ tsp	white pepper
1 cup	water (cold)
	salt
	flour (for dusting)
	black rice vinegar (for serving)
	chili oil (for serving)
	soy sauce (for serving)
	Add to shopping list

HOW-TO VIDEOS



⊃, 1 ▲s	0:26 7 Search	
<	Chinese jiaozi (Pork and cabbag	je ᠿ
	white pepper	
	water (cold) 1 cup	
] salt	
	chili oil (for serving)	
] soy sauce (for serving)	
	Remove recipe	
93		O.

• Kitchen Stories

Hall of Fame!

10:25 🗲





10:24 🕇



Kitchen Stories

• Like

- large pictures of recipes
- photos & videos
- shopping list that marks off as you purchase

• Wish

- fonts hard to read for long list
- why the tab for "Kitchen Stories"?

Hall of Fame or Shame?





Hall of Shame!





- Coupa CaféLike
 - remembers my usual café
- Wish
 - how much money am I adding?
 - lots of steps to order
 & checkout

Hall of Fame or Hall of Shame?

حجه دریافت و چاپ مدارك قانیني تان لطفا به لینك مراجعه فرمائيد <u>194-OAW-Dari.pdf</u>
د لا موندلو او قانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وكړی لاندی لینک كيكاړۍ <u>194-OAW-Pashtu.pdf</u>
او او عانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وكړی لاندی لینک كيكاړۍ 194-OAW-Pashtu.pdf
او او عانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وكړی لاندی لینک كيكاړۍ 194-OAW-Pashtu.pdf
او او عانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وكړی لاندی لينک كيكاړۍ 194-OAW-Pashtu.pdf
او او عانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وكړی لاندی لينک كيكاړۍ 194-OAW-Pashtu.pdf
او او عانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وكړی لاندی لينک كيكاړۍ 194-OAW-Pashtu.pdf
او او عانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وكړی لاندی لينک كيكاړۍ 194-OAW-Pashtu.pdf
او او عانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وكړی لاندی لينک كيكاړۍ 194-OAW-Pashtu.pdf
او او عانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وكړی لاندی لينک كيكاړۍ 194-OAW-Pashtu.pdf
او او عانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وكړی لاندی لينک كيكاړۍ 194-OAW-Pashtu.pdf



U.S. I-94 Website

I-94: A document that gives you your travel history to and from the U.S.

Hall of Shame!

جهت دریافت و چاپ مدارك قانونی تان لطفا به لینك مراجعه فرمائید <u>I94-OAW-Dari.pdf</u> د لا موندلو او قانونی اسنادو د چاپولو لپاره مهربانی وکړی لاندی لینک کیکاری <u>I94-OAW-Pashtu.pdf</u> Pre-paying for a provisional I-94 through this web site does not guarantee admission into the United States Official Website of the Department of Homeland Security U.S. Customs and I-94 Website Border Protection Travel Record for U.S. Visitors Get Most Recent I-94 Home Apply Now View Travel History **View Compliance** Help Visiting the U.S. & Arriving Via a Land Already Visiting & Need Proof of Official Site for Travelers Visiting the United States: Apply **Border or Selected Ferry? Visitor Status?** or or retrieve Form I-94, Request Travel History and Check A Form I-94 is needed by all visitors A traveler lawfully admitted (or **Fravel Compliance** except: U.S. Citizens, returning paroled) into the U.S. may look up their most recent Form I-94 going resident aliens, aliens with immigrant visas, and most Canadian citizens back to 1983 for most classes of nternational travelers visiting the United States can apply for or retrieve their I-94 dmission number/record (which is proof of legal visitor status) as well as retrieve a visiting or in transit. Travelers will be admission (or parole), and indefinitely issued an I-94 during the admission for certain classes, such as diplomats mited travel history of their U.S. arrivals and departures. process at the port of entry. If you are and those admitted under the traveling via a land border or by ferry Compacts of Free Association. The from selected ports, you may apply corresponding Form I-94 may then be printed here. This is considered your for an I-94 in advance here, saving time while at the port of entry later. lawful record of admission. Reference FAQs for specific ferry If someone requests your admission ports accepting I-94 Provisionals. information, this is the form you would provide. Need to Learn How to Apply for an I-For instructions on accessing and es sobre 94? Watch this video here printing your I-94 using your Alien mo acceder e imprimir su stro de viaje Forma I-94, hag on Number (A number), pl Apply for new I-94 Get most recent I-94 Need A History Of Your Arrivals & How Much Longer May I Remain In



- Obscure PDFs and use of Dari/Pashto at the top grab attention, but unclear what they are (to non-Afghan users)
- "Get most recent I-94" should be central action but is one of many tabs
- Distracting background
- Black overlay behind text doesn't provide enough contrast
- Too much going on

dt + UX design thinking for user experience design + prototyping + evaluation

Usability Testing

刘哲明 Prof. James A. Landay Computer Science Department Stanford University

Autumn 2024 November 18, 2024

Outline

- Why do usability testing?
- Choosing participants
- Ethical considerations
- Designing & conducting the test
- Using the results
- Experimental options & details

Why do Usability Testing?

- Can't tell how good UI is until?
 people use it!
- Expert review methods (e.g., HE) are based on evaluators who may?
 - know too much
 - not know enough (about tasks, etc.)
- Hard to predict what real users will do





Choosing Participants

- Representative of target users. How so?
 - job-specific vocab / knowledge
 - tasks
- Approximate if needed

 system intended for doctors?
 get medical students or nurses
 system intended for engineers?
 get engineering students

 Use incentives to get participants
 - t-shirt, mug, free coffee/pizza





Ethical Considerations

Usability tests can be distressing

 users have left in tears



 Testing/fieldwork can be coercive if there is a power imbalance (e.g., in under resourced communities)



People may feel no option but to speak to you or give you their time even though they may not get anything of value in return.

Ethical Considerations

- You have a responsibility to alleviate these issues
 - make voluntary with informed consent (form)
 - avoid pressure to participate
 - let them know they can stop at any time
 - stress that you are *testing the system, not them*
 - make collected data as anonymous as possible

Often must get human subjects approval (IRB)



https://www.unthsc.edu/north-texas-regional-irb/institutional-review-board-meeting/

Usability Test Proposal

- A report that contains
 - objective
 - description of system being testing
 - task environment & materials
 - participants
 - methodology
 - tasks
 - test measures
- Get approved & then reuse for final report
- Seems tedious, but writing this will help "debug" your test



Selecting Tasks

- Tasks from low-fi design can be used
 - may need to shorten if
 - they take too long
 - require background that test user won't have

Check if your friend has called, find out what time he will be going to the club.

- Don't train unless that will occur in real deployment
- Avoid bending tasks in direction of what your design best supports
- Don't choose tasks that are too fragmented ?
 - fragmented = does not represent a complete goal someone would try to accomplish with your application
 - e.g., phone-in bank test or login/create account as a task

Two Types of Data to Collect

- Process data
 - observations of what users are doing & thinking
 - qualitative



http://allazollers.com/discovery-research.php

Bottom-line data

summary of what happened
time, errors, success

i.e., the dependent variables *quantitative*



http://www.fusionfarm.com/content/uploads/2012/10/analyzing-data.jpg

Which Type of Data to Collect?

• Focus on process data first

- gives good overview of where problems are



http://www.redicecreations.com/ul_img/24592nazca_bird.jpg

Which Type of Data to Collect?

- Focus on process data first
 - gives good overview of where problems are
- Bottom-line data doesn't tell you ?
 - where to fix
 - just says: "too slow", "too many errors", etc.
- Hard to get reliable bottom-line results
 need many users for statistical significance



The "Thinking Aloud" Method

 Need to know what users are thinking, not just what they are doing

Ask users to talk while performing tasks

tell us *what they are thinking*tell us *what they are trying to do*tell us *questions that arise as they work*tell us *things they read*



Thinking Aloud (cont.)

- Prompt the user to keep talking
 "tell me what you are thinking"
- Only help on things you have pre-decided
 keep track of anything you do give help on
- Make a *recording* & take good notes
 - make sure you can tell what they were doing
 - use a digital watch/clock
 - record audio & video
 - or even event logs



Will thinking out loud give the right answers?

Not always

 If you ask, people will always give an answer, even it is has nothing to do with facts

panty hose example

 \rightarrow Try to avoid specific questions (especially that have binary answers)



Accessibility Issues with Thinking Out Loud

- May be less comfortable or accessible for certain users
- Factor in time/resources you need to support these interviewees
 - interpreters
 - more time for the interview
 - e.g., someone using sign language can't give feedback while interacting with your prototype



https://blog.gettinghired.com/hubfs/GettingHired_November2019/Images/CSD%20blog93019660x440%20jpeg.jpeg



Try it out!

Use the **think aloud protocol** to test **one task** in your medium-fi prototype.

In a moment, we will break you out into your teams. You have 3 minutes to identify which task to study. Select **one person** to rotate into the group next to you to serve as their participant. If possible, this should be someone who **has not done a HE** on that team's prototype.

When they are ready, ask your participant to complete the task while speaking their thought process aloud. Take notes!

Using the Test Results

- Summarize the data
 - make a list of all critical incidents (CI)
 - positive & negative
 - include references back to original data
 - try to judge why each difficulty occurred
- What does data tell you?
 - UI work the way you thought it would?
 - users take approaches you expected?
 - something missing?



Using the Results (cont.)

Update tasks & rethink design

 rate severity & ease of fixing CIs
 fix both severe problems & make the easy fixes



Administrivia

- Group project grades (60% of your overall grade)
 - your project grade can be impacted by your contribution to the team → pull your weight!
 - midway due studio this week (show >= 1 task working!)
- Web sites now linked from class projects page
 - let @stardoby know if you updated your tagline or project name (check)
- Assignments due the final week
 - Poster & pitch slide drafts (Mon) & finals (Wed at noon)
 - Video demo (Wed)
 - Prototype (Fri)
 - Report (Sun)

TEAM BREAK Work on (or plan for) building your hi-fi prototype

Measuring Bottom-Line Usability

- Situations in which numbers are useful
 - time requirements for task completion
 - successful task completion %
 - compare two designs on speed or # of errors
- Ease of measurement
 - time is easy to record
 - error or successful completion is harder
 - define in advance what these mean
- Do not combine with thinking-aloud. Why?
 - talking can affect speed & accuracy



Analyzing the Numbers

- Example: trying to get task time \leq 30 min.
 - test gives: 40, 5, 20, 90, 10, 15
 - mean (average) = 30
 - median (middle) = 17.5
 - looks good!
- Did we achieve our goal?
- Wrong answer, not certain of anything!
- Factors contributing to our uncertainty?
 - small number of test users (n = 6)
 - results are very variable (standard deviation = 32)
 - std. dev. measures dispersal from the mean

Analyzing the Numbers (cont.)

- This is what basic statistics can be used for
- Crank through the procedures and you find
 95% certain that typical value is between 5 & 55

Analyzing the Numbers (cont.)

	Web Usab	oility Test Re	sults			
Participant #		Time (minutes)				
1		20				
2		15				
3		40				
4		90				
5		10				
6		5				
	number of participants	6				
	mean	30.0				
	median	17.5				
	std dev	31.8				
	standard error of the mean	= stddev /	sqrt (#sam	iples)	13.0	
	typical values will be mean +/- 2	- 2*standard error> 4			> 4 to 56!	
	what is plausible? = confidence (alpha=5%, stddey_sample_size)	25.4	> 95% confident between 4.6.8.55.4			

Analyzing the Numbers (cont.)

- This is what basic statistics can be used for
- Crank through the procedures and you find
 95% certain that typical value is between 5 & 55
- Usability test data is *highly variable*
 - need lots to get good estimates of typical values
 - 4x as many tests will only narrow range by 2x
 - breadth of range depends on sqrt of # of test users
 - this is when online methods become useful
 - easy to test w/ large numbers of users

Measuring User Preference

- How much users like or dislike the system
 - can ask them to rate on a scale of 1 to 10
 - or have them choose among statements
 - "best UI I've ever...", "better than average"...
 - hard to be sure what data will mean
 - novelty of UI, unrealistic setting ...
- If many give you low ratings \rightarrow trouble
- Can get some useful data by asking
 - what they liked, disliked, where they had trouble, best part, worst part, etc.
 - redundant questions are OK



Comparing Two Alternatives

- *Between groups* experiment
 - two groups of test users
 - each group uses only 1 of the systems

• Within groups experiment

- one group of test users
 - each person uses both systems (cheaper)
 - can't use the same tasks or order (learning)
- best for low-level interaction techniques
 - e.g., new mouse, new swipe interaction, ...

Comparing Two Alternatives

- Between groups requires many more participants than within groups
- See if differences are statistically significant
 assumes normal distribution & same std. dev.
- Online companies can do large AB tests
 look at resulting behavior (e.g., buy?)

Experimental Details

- Order of tasks
 - choose one simple order (simple \rightarrow complex)
 - unless doing within groups \rightarrow counterbalance order
- Training
 - depends on how real system will be used
- What if someone doesn't finish
 - assign very large time & large # of errors or remove & note
- Pilot study
 - helps you fix problems with the study
 - do two, first with colleagues, then with real users

Instructions to Participants

- Describe the purpose of the evaluation
 "I'm testing the product; I'm not testing you"
- Tell them they can quit at any time
- Demonstrate the equipment.
- Explain how to think aloud
- Explain that you will not provide help
- Describe the task
 - give written instructions
 - one task at a time



Details (cont.)

- Keeping variability down
 - recruit test users with similar background
 - brief users to bring them to common level
 - perform the test the same way every time
 - don't help some more than others (plan in advance)
 - make instructions clear
- Debriefing test users
 - often don't remember, so demonstrate or show video segments
 - ask for comments on specific features
 - show them screen (online or on paper)

Reporting the Results

- Report what you did & what happened
- Images & graphs help people get it!
- Video clips can be quite convincing



Heuristic Evaluation vs. User Testing

- HE is much faster
 - 2-4 hours each evaluator vs. days-weeks
- HE doesn't require interpreting user's actions
- User testing is far more accurate (by def.)
 - takes into account actual users and tasks
 - HE may miss problems & find "false positives"
- Good to alternate between HE & user testing
 - find different problems
 - don't waste participants

Summary

- User testing is important, but takes time/effort
- Use ????? tasks & ????? participants *real tasks* & *representative* participants
- Be ethical & treat your participants well
- Want to know what people are doing & why? collect
 process data
- Bottom line data requires ???? to get statistically reliable results
 more participants
- Difference between between & within groups?
 - between groups: each subject participates in only one of n conditions
 - within groups: everyone participates in multiple conditions

Further Reading on Ethical Issues With Community-based Research

- Children and Families "At Promise, Beth B. Swadener, Sally Lubeck, editors, SUNY Press, 1995, <u>http://www.sunypress.edu/p-2029-children-and-families-at-promis.aspx</u>
- "Yours is better!" Participant Response Bias in HCI, Proceedings of CHI 2012, by Nicola Dell, et al., <u>http://research.microsoft.com/pubs/163718/CHI2012-Dell-ResponseBias-proc.pdf</u>
- "Strangers at the Gate: Gaining Access, Building Rapport, and Co-Constructing Community-Based Research", Proceedings of CSCW 2015, by Christopher A. Le Dantec & Sarah Fox, <u>http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2675133.2675147&coll=DL&dl=ACM</u>
- "Imperialist Tendencies" blog post by Jan Chipchase, <u>http://janchipchase.com/content/essays/imperialist-tendencies/</u>
- "To Hell with Good Intentions" by Ivan Illich, speech to the Conference on InterAmerican Student Projects (CIASP), April 20, 1968, <u>https://www.southwestern.edu/live/files/1158</u>

Exit ticket



https://tinyurl.com/2024au-exit-ticket-9-372

Next Time

Design Patterns

- Read from the *Design of Sites*
 - "Making the Most of Web Design Patterns" (Ch 2)
 - "Up-Front Value Proposition" (Pattern C2)
 - "Process Funnel" (Pattern H1)
 - "Meaningful Error Messages" (Pattern K13)

Studio

A8 midway – show at least 1 of your tasks implemented