CS 147 Course Midterm Review

Design Thinking for User Experience Design, Prototyping & Evaluation

刘哲明

Prof. James A. Landay
Computer Science Department
Stanford University

Autumn 2023
November 13, 2023

Administrivia

Assignment #6 Medium-fi Prototype

A6 Group Grade: ✓ --: 0%   ✓ -: 0%   ✓ : 53% ✓ +: 43%   ✓ ++: 5%
A6 Individual Presentation: ✓ --: 0%   ✓ -: 3%   ✓ : 60% ✓ +: 35%   ✓ ++: 0%

A6 Group Average: 93%
A6 Individual Presentation Average: 91%

Administrivia

- Heuristic Evaluation Grades
  - hope to have A7/A9 back to you by Tuesday night (so you know if you need to practice more before the test Wed afternoon)
- Medium-Fi Prototype Grades (& Feedback)
  - will be back to you tonight or Tue AM
- OAE Accommodation for Midterm?
  - contact me & Matt through email ASAP if we haven't gotten your letter already (we need to coordinate rooms, etc.)
- Course grades
  - in the past, ~67% of class has gotten A+, A, or A-
  - most of the remainder B+ or B. Few B- and Cs, generally where student did not carry their share of project work

HCI Approach to UX Design

How to Design and Build Good UIs

- Iterative development process
- Usability goals
- User-centered design
- Design discovery
- Rapid prototyping
- Evaluation
- Programming

Iteration

At every stage!

Design

Prototype

Sketch
Paper
Video
Tool
Program

Evaluate

Gut
Crit
Expert Eval
Lo-fi Test
User Study

Usability/User Experience Goals

- Set goals early & later use to measure progress
- Goals often have tradeoffs, so prioritize
- Example goals(?)
    - Learnable
        - faster the 2nd time & so on
    - Memorable
        - from session to session
    - Flexible
        - multiple ways to do tasks
    - Efficient
        - perform tasks quickly
    - Robust
        - minimal error rates
        - good feedback so user can recover
    - Discoverable
        - learn new features over time
    - Pleasing
        - high user satisfaction
    - Fun

Design Process: Discovery

Discovery

Design Exploration

Design Refinement

Production

Assess Needs

- understand client's expectations

- determine scope of project

- characteristics of customers & tasks

- evaluate existing practices & products

Design Thinking Process

User-centered Design
"Know thy User"

- Cognitive abilities
  - perception (e.g., color)
  - physical manipulation (e.g., Fitts' Law to predict speed)
  - Memory (working vs. long term)
  - Fitts' Law, MHP: processors? cycle & decay times?

- Organizational / educational job abilities

- Keep users involved throughout
  - developers working with target customers
  - think of the world in users' terms

Design Discovery
Needfinding & Task Analysis

- Observe existing practices for inspiration
- Make sure key questions answered
- Ethical questions in design w/ underserved communities

ChoreoLab observed/interviewed dancers in studios…. and out in the streets ...

Focus by Writing a "Point of View"

WE MET . . .
(**person** you are inspired by)

WE WERE SURPRISED TO NOTICE. . .
(**tension, contradiction, or surprise**)

WE WONDER IF THIS MEANS. . .
(what did you infer? **need**—verb reflecting user needs)

IT WOULD BE GAME-CHANGING TO. . .
(Frame up an **inspired challenge** for your team.
NOT a reason for the need! Not a solution, but a **more informed problem**)

Ideate: From POV to How Might We

POV: We met Janice, a harried mother of 3, rushing through the airport only to wait hours at the gate. We were surprised at the many games she makes up to to entertain her children so they don't irritate frustrated fellow passengers. It would be game changing to bring the other passengers and the airport facilities into helping families have a better travel experience.

How Might We Generators

http://dschool.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/2012/05/HMW-METHODCARD.pdf

Break POV into pieces

Amp up the good/Remove the bad

Explore the opposite

Question an assumption

Go after adjectives

Identify unexpected resources

Create an analogy from need or context

Change a status quo

EXPERIENCE PROTOTYPE

INTERACT PROTOTYPE

Design Process: Exploration

Discovery

Design Exploration

Design Refinement

Production

Expand Design Space

- brainstorming
- sketching
- storyboarding
- prototyping

From Sketch to Prototype

SKETCH		PROTOTYPE
EVOCATIVE	⟶	DIDACTIC
SUGGEST	⟶	DESCRIBE
QUESTION	⟶	ANSWER
PROPOSE	⟶	TEST
PROVOKE	⟶	RESOLVE
TENTATIVE	⟶	SPECIFIC
NONCOMMITTAL	⟶	DEPICTION

Difference in intent rather than in form

Courtesy Bill Buxton

Design Exploration Summary

- Sketching allows exploration of many concepts in the very early stages of design

- As investment goes up, need to use more and more formal criteria for evaluation

- Experience prototyping lets us quickly try many ideas & learn more about the problem & solution space (*prototype to learn*)

Concept Videos

- Illustrate context of use rather than specific UI

- Quick to build

- Inexpensive

- Forces designers to consider details of how users will react to the design

- More important when context is not traditional work scenario

Augmenting Human Intellect – Engelbart (1968)
## *The NLS Hardware*

Dynabook – Kay (1974)

# Xerox Star – 1st Commercial GUI (1981)

Rapid Prototyping

- Build a mock-up of a design so you can test it
- Low fidelity techniques
  - paper sketches
  - cut, copy, paste
  - low-fi testing allows us to quickly iterate
  - get feedback from users & change right away
- Interactive prototyping tools
  - SketchFlow, Balsamiq, Axure, proto.io, Marvel, Invision, etc.
- UI builders
  - Expression Blend + Visual Studio, Xcode Interface Builder, etc.

Evaluation

- Test with real customers (participants)
  - w/ interactive prototype
  - low-fi with paper "computer"

- Low-cost techniques
  - expert evaluation
 - walkthroughs
  - online testing

Wanderlust

Heuristic Evaluation Decreasing Returns

problems found

benefits / cost

* Caveat: graphs for a specific example

Heuristic Evaluation Summary

- Have evaluators go through the UI twice
- Ask them to see if it complies with heuristics
  - note where it doesn't & say why
  - exact heuristic less important than finding the problem
- Combine the findings from 3 to 5 evaluators
- Have evaluators independently rate severity
- Alternate with user testing

User Testing Data

- Process data
  - observations of what users are doing & thinking
  - *qualitative*

http://allazollers.com/discovery-research.php

- Bottom-line data
  - summary of what happened
    - time, errors, success
  - i.e., the dependent variables
  - *quantitative*

http://www.fusionfarm.com/content/uploads/2012/10/analyzing-data.jpg

User Testing Summary

- User testing is important, but takes time/effort

- Use ????? tasks & ????? participants
  - *real tasks* & *representative* participants

- Be ethical & treat your participants well

- Want to know what people are doing & why? collect
  - process data

- Bottom line data requires ???? to get statistically reliable results
  - *more participants*

- Difference between between & within groups?
  - between groups: everyone participates in one condition
  - within groups: everyone participates in multiple conditions

relevant
meaningful
new

interestingness

proof of
concept

experiment

ugly

truth
consistency
honesty
accuracy

integrity

How well does it
communicate?

function

easiness
usefulness
useability
fit

useless   information   rubbish

design

boring

eye-candy

sketch

form

beauty
structure
appearance

The Art of Balance

Promotion & demotion of important objects

First Question for any design
➤ What are the most important things?

Information should be prioritized based on its importance to the user

Visual Hierarchy and Reading Order

Weak visual hierarchies provide little or no guidance on what is important.

Strong visual hierarchies guide visual & logical progression by showing what is important.

Strong visual hierarchies create a sense of order and balance

Using Proximity to Indicate Relationships

"The whole is greater than the sum of the parts."
– David Hothersall

Gestalt Psychology in information design

Information blocks should be grouped together if related, but unrelated elements should be located at some distance from each other.

# *Gestalt Principles of Perception* Group Information

Proximity	Similarity	Continuation	Closure

Elements close together

Similarity of shape, size, or color

Aligned along a line or curve

Individual elements form a single object

White Space = Value

dt+UX: Design Thinking for User Experience Design, Prototyping & Evaluation

Using Appropriate Color "Harmonies"

Beginners should use these

Complementary	Analogous	Triad	Split Complementary	Rectangle (Tetradic)	Square

dtUX: Design Thinking for User Experience Design, Prototyping & Evaluation

Human Abilities: Retina

Distribution & types of cones in the retina has major impact on our visual abilities

http://www.webexhibits.org/causesofcolor/1G.html

http://webvision.med.utah.edu/imageswv/Ostergr.jpeg

Fig. 20. Graph to show rod and cone densities along the horizontal m

The Model Human Processor

- Developed by Card, Moran & Newell ('83)
  - based on empirical data

- Basic model underlies other HCI techniques

- Allows us to make predictions w/o users
  - e.g., GOMS modeling

- Know the processors, memories, cycle times, & decay times
  - 100ms Is a good enough approx. for times

Experimental Results

- Task

  Quickly tap each target 50 times accurately

Online Experimental Results

fig. 1e: Time in ms over ID.

Index of Difficulty: ID = log (**D/W**) + 1
D = **distance** to target, W = width of target (or **size**)

Principles of Operation (cont.)

Fitts' Law

- moving hand is a series of microcorrections
    - correction takes Tp + Tc + Tm = 240 msec
- time Tpos to move the hand to target size S, which is distance D away is given by:

    Tpos = a + b log2 (D/S + 1)

- summary
    - time to move the hand depends only on the *relative precision* required

Conceptual Models

- Conceptual model?
  - mental representation of how the object works & how interface controls effect it

- Design model should equal customer's model?
  - mismatches lead to slow performance, errors, & frustration

- Design guides?
  - use customer's likely conceptual model to design
  - make things visible
  - map interface controls to customer's model
  - provide feedback

What Makes a Good Answer (H/W or Test)?

- Easy to understand
  - legible, well-annotated, good explanations, visual presentation instead of just listing bullets, clear structure (such as well designed visual hierarchy)
- Grounded in your prior work
  - e.g., needfinding, POV, HMW, EP results, usability results, data driven (if possible)
- Ideas/designs are novel
  - beyond what is heard/seen or already exists to push for something that feels new & exciting
- Backed by evidence
  - from your work or from principles of design, humans cognitive/perceptual/motor attributes
- Goes beyond just facts
  - based on some reasonable inference and integration, not straightforward and superficial
  - points made are insightful & point to a bigger picture as opposed to just stating the facts
- User-centered
  - findings and insights tie back to the user, keeping user experience in mind, ensuring a diverse set of participants and reasons why they were important
- Covers everything that was asked for

EXIT TICKET
required team feedback
http://bit.ly/CS147-2023au-team-check-in-183
due at 3:30 PM Tue, 11/14

QUESTIONS?

dt+UX: Design Thinking for User Experience Design, Prototyping & Evaluation