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- About figuring out how to improve design
- Issues with lo-fi tests?

Not realistic – visuals & performance
- Not on actual interface
- Can't test alone
- Need participants
- Can be hard to find repeatedly
Evaluation

• About figuring out how to improve design
• Issues with lo-fi tests?

Not realistic
  – visuals & performance

Not on actual interface
  – can’t test alone

Need participants
  – can be hard to find repeatedly
Heuristic Evaluation

- Developed by Jakob Nielsen
- Helps find usability problems in a UI design
- Small set (3-5) of evaluators examine UI
  - independently check for compliance with usability principles (“heuristics”)
  - evaluators only communicate afterwards
    - findings are then aggregated
    - use violations to redesign/fix problems
- Can perform on working UI or on sketches
Why Multiple Evaluators?

- Every evaluator doesn’t find every problem
- Good evaluators find both easy & hard ones
Heuristics

H1: Visibility of system status

H2: Match between system & real world

H3: User control & freedom
Heuristics (cont.)

H4: Consistency & standards

H5: Error prevention
H6: Recognition rather than recall
Heuristics (cont.)

H7: Flexibility and efficiency of use

Heuristics - Design Thinking for User Experience Design, Prototyping & Evaluation

H7: Flexibility and efficiency of use

https://uxplanet.org/heuristics-7-flexibility-and-efficiency-of-use-simplified-by-the-examples-f2d76966e8a5
Heuristics (cont.)

H8: Aesthetic & minimalist design

bad

good

https://icons8.com/articles/redesigning-boarding-pass-again/
H9: Help users recognize, diagnose, & recover from errors
Heuristics (cont.)

good

The page at https://mail.google.com says:
It seems like you forgot to attach a file.
You wrote "See the attached" in your message, but there are no files attached. Send anyway?

[Send]
Good Error Messages

• Clearly indicate what has gone wrong
• Human readable
• Polite
• Describe the problem
• Explain how to fix it
• Highly noticeable
H10 – Help & Documentation

• Better if the system can be used without documentation, but it may be necessary

• How
  – easy to search
  – focused on task
  – list concrete steps

http://blog.screensteps.com/10-examples-of-great-end-user-documentation
**H11** – Accessible

Users can interact with the system using *alternative input methods*. Content is legible with *distinguishable contrast* & *text size*. Key information is upfront & *not nested* for screen readers. Purely visual or auditory content has *text-based alternatives* for users with low vision & low hearing.

* New heuristic that CS147 staff has added to Nielson’s 10.

---
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H11* – Accessible

Users can interact with the system using alternative input methods. Content is legible with distinguishable contrast & text size. Key information is upfront & not nested for screen readers. Purely visual or auditory content has text-based alternatives for users with low vision & low hearing.

* New heuristic that CS147 staff has added to Nielson’s 10.
H12* – Fairness & inclusion

Users shouldn’t feel that the design is not made for them. The design should meet all users’ needs equally and prevent the reproduction of pre-existing inequities. It should not create additional burdens for disadvantaged populations.

* New heuristic that CS147 staff has added to Nielsens’s 10.
H13* – Value alignment

The design should encode values that users can understand & relate to. Conflicting collateral values should not emerge when the user interacts with the product. Encoded values should match users’ values in a broad set of contexts.

* New heuristic that CS147 staff has added to Nielson’s 10.

Google Assistant Expands Accent Options for Website Reading Feature

Google adds accented reading but leaves out marginalized groups.
Heuristic Violation Examples

1. [H6 Recognition Rather Than Recall]
   Can’t copy info from one window to another
   - user needs to memorize the data & retype
   - fix: allow copying

2. [H4 Consistency and Standards]
   Typography uses different fonts in 3 dialog boxes
   - slows users down
   - probably wouldn’t be found by user testing
   - fix: pick a single format for entire interface
Severity Ratings

0 - don’t agree that this is a usability problem
1 - cosmetic problem
2 - minor usability problem
3 - major usability problem; important to fix
4 - usability catastrophe; imperative to fix
1. [H4 Consistency & Standards] [Severity 3]

The interface used the string “Save” on the first screen for saving the user’s settings, but used the string “Store” on the second screen. Users may be confused by this different terminology for the same function.

Fix: Use “Save” everywhere in the application.
###PLEASE NOTE

This component is **NOT** recommended for your vehicle. We suggest removing this item (bolded in red below) from your cart. Please call us toll-free at 1-888-955-6000 and we'll be glad to provide further assistance.

## Cart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#033DVM4800</td>
<td>Denon DVM-4800 DVD Video Changer</td>
<td>$1,199.95</td>
<td>$1,199.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#204EL570</td>
<td>Bazooka EL570 5&quot;x7&quot; 2-way speakers</td>
<td>$79.95</td>
<td>$79.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#158DSCP50</td>
<td>Special Sony DSC-P50 Digital Still Camera, 2.1 Mega Pixel</td>
<td>$299.95</td>
<td>$299.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#123DVLA95</td>
<td>Panasonic DVD-LA95 Portable DVD-A/V Player with 9&quot; Screen</td>
<td>$999.95</td>
<td>$999.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#170GXT160</td>
<td>Maxell GX-Silver T-160 VHS Video Tape</td>
<td>$2.49</td>
<td>$2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Problems Found this Year

- **H1:** Visibility of system status
  - Username for current shopping cart missing [1]
- **H2:** Match between system & real world
  - "price" and "total" should refer to different things [1]
  - Items referred to by their Item Number [3]
- **H3:** User control & freedom
  - Not clear where/how user can place order [1]
  - Missing a search bar [1]
- **H4:** Consistency & standards
  - Red used inconsistently - error, special, title, out of stock [4]
  - Yes/No Checkbox in “Remove?” [5]
  - Menu Bar links on different lines - logout, continue, shopping [1]
Problems Found this Year

H5: Error prevention
- Out of Stock items allowed to be added to cart [4]
- ”h” in Quantity Field [7]

H6: Recognition rather than recall
- Item number not auto-filled, difficult for user to remember [2]

H7: Flexibility and efficiency of use
- Update Cart Button required to update cart and ambiguous use [2]
- Quantity Field could be a selection menu [1]

H8: Aesthetic & minimalist design
- Color Combinations - red/green, black text on blue background [6]
- Crowded Design - columns and text squished [2]
- # Sign not necessary [1]
Problems Found this Year

- **H9**: Help users recognize, diagnose, & recover from errors
  - “Please Note” error message refers to item bolded in red but multiple items bolded in red [2]
  - Last row has quantity 1 but no other info, user unsure how to fix [1]
- **H10**: Help & Documentation
  - Instructions for use not immediately visible [1]
  - International users not immediately redirected to other page [2]
- **H11**: Accessible
  - Aesthetic choices difficult for visually impaired- blue links, small text, blue on blue color scheme [3]
  - Error message relies on ability to view red color - hard for color-blind [1]
- **H12**: Fairness & inclusion
  - Requires knowledge of your car beyond just model and year [1]
Problems Found Last Year

1. H4 (consistency): The use of red to indicate errors, out of stock items, and sales/savings [26]
2. H4 (consistency): two of the check boxes have yes/no next to them but none of the others do. Error prevention? [22]
3. H9 (Aesthetic): "#" is not needed [2]
Decreasing Returns

* Caveat: graphs for a specific example
Heuristic Evaluation Summary

- Have evaluators go through the UI twice
- Ask them to see if it complies with heuristics
  - note where it doesn’t & say why
- Have evaluators independently rate severity
- Combine the findings from 3 to 5 evaluators
  - come to agreement on problems, fixes & severity

- Alternate with user testing
Speech UI Heuristics

S1: Give the agent a persona through language, sounds, and other styles.
S2: Make the system status clear.
S3: Speak the user’s language.
S4: Start and stop conversations.
S5: Pay attention to what the user said and respect the user’s context.
S6: Use spoken language characteristics.
S7: Make conversation a back-and-forth exchange.
S8: Adapt agent style to who users are, how they speak, and how they are feeling.
S9: Guide users through a conversation so they are not easily lost.
S10: Use responses to help users discover what is possible.
Speech UI Heuristics

S11: Keep feedback and prompts short.
S12: Confirm input intelligently.
S13: Use speech-recognition system confidence to drive feedback style.
S14: Use multimodal feedback when available.
S15: Avoid cascading correction errors.
S16: Use normal language in communicating errors.
S17: Allow users to exit from errors or a mistaken conversation.

The list of heuristics along with detailed descriptions and examples can be found at http://hci.stanford.edu/publications/2018/speech-he/sui-heuristics.html
Administrivia

• Questions on individual heuristic evaluation assignment?
Grading on Last Assignment

Assignment #5 Low-fi Prototype & Test

A5 Group Report: ✓ --: 0% ✓ -: 18% ✓ : 71% ✓ +: 11% ✓ ++: 0%
A5 Group Presentation: ✓ --: 0% ✓ -: 7% ✓ : 64% ✓ +: 29% ✓ ++: 0%
A5 Individual Presentation: ✓ --: 0% ✓ -: 2% ✓ : 22% ✓ +: 73% ✓ ++: 4%

A5 Group Report Average: 90%
A5 Group Presentation Average: 91%
A5 Individual Presentation Average: 94%
TEAM BREAK
EXERCISE
Heuristic evaluation practice
[8 min]: On your own, find 8+ usability violations
For each one: Which guideline was violated and why? How could it be fixed?
[8 min]: Share with your breakout room
What violations did you all find? Which ones did only one of you notice?
[10 min]: Share with the class
Raise your hand or post a screenshot with your description on Slack.
Find, label, & describe 8-10 Heuristic Violations
[10 min]: Share with the class
Raise your hand or post a screenshot with your description on Slack.

**H8 – low contrast**
Choose Recipient

**H2, H8**
User selects James L. and presses "NEXT"

**H6**
Where is the recipient?

**H1**
Can only go one direction

**H3**
User types message in the yellow box, and presses "Send Text"

**H3**
Why are these buttons all different?

**H6**
How can I go back?

**H8**
Where is the message confirmation?
Problems Found This Year

H2: Match between system & real world
Showing “(null)” for empty content

H3: User control & freedom
No “back” button [5]

H6: Recognition rather than recall
No way to see who you’re sending to in the second screen
Problems Found Last Year

1. H1: no feedback on whether the message is sent or not, just navigates to inbox screen
2. [H3: user control and freedom] No way to cancel message solution: add an ‘x’ or cancel button that allows them to delete the message on screen 2
3. H8. There was unnecessary users listed that were null
4. H4: so many different colors, not consistent
5. H1: No visibility of system status (can’t really tell which page I’m on - perhaps some sort of diagram at the bottom could fix this)
6. H6: James L is not displayed on create message screen
7. [H6 Recognition rather than recall] Can’t see who you’re sending the message to when you’re creating the message. Fix: include sub-header that specifies name you just clicked on
8. H4: inconsistent button design
9. H4: consistency with names on the first screen (names have or don’t have last initials) --> should just choose one format (potentially just first name if we want to avoid clutter)
10. H8 (aesthetics) - The headers have low contrast (especially the purple/pink) and should use less saturated colors for better contrast.
11. H3/H6: User can’t go back from screen 2 to 1 if they miscliked on the wrong person’s profile, no recall of who you selected --> recall the recipient name on screen 2
12. H3: User control and freedom - can only send to recipients in the list, no way to add a new recipient
13. H9: “error”/null messages offer no explanation
14. H3: can only send text (no images/files which might be helpful in certain cases)
15. [H5 Error prevention] Could potentially select (null) users to write messages to. Fix: just don’t display the icons of null users at all.
16. H7: unnecessary “next” button on the first page. Might instead click on the person to transition to the next screen, and display the person’s name on screen 2 (H6) and add back button there (H3)
17. H3: How do you view old messages?
18. H10: no help or documentation included - just buttons indicating the very next step
19. H4 consistency: not sure the differences between ‘text’ ‘messages’ ‘body’
20. H3 - no ability to edit message text
21. H2: “Body” and “Null” might not make sense to user without technical background, but also why would they even see these words to begin with
22. H4: terminology of “message” vs “text”
Further Reading

Heuristic Evaluation

- Longer lecture
  - https://drive.google.com/file/d/0BweiB6wu4sBaN2tfZGxKb2tuOTg/view

- Books
  - *Usability Engineering*, by Nielsen, 1994

- Web site
  - http://www.nngroup.com/articles/
Next Time

• Conceptual Models & Interface Metaphors
  - Read “The Psychology of Everyday Things” (Ch. 1), from *The Design of Everyday Things* by Donald Norman

• Midterm Review
  - Thur evening (6:30-7:30 PM) on Zoom (class zoom)

• Studio
  - Ad-hoc group heuristic evaluation
  - Must be present to get credit on assignment