Field Usability Study (Team)

Due: Tuesday, February 16, 2015 (start of class)

Goals
The goal of this assignment is to learn how to perform a field usability study and to incorporate the results of the study into design changes in your hi-fi prototype. In practice, this “pilot” study would be used to redesign your experiment before running the study with a larger pool of participants.

Prototypes
You will be performing this field test using the prototype you produced on the last assignment after you’ve fixed any issues that you know you wanted to change, implemented missing features, and made changes the teaching staff highlighted.

Participants
You will find at least five participants (i.e., volunteers who are not in this class) to work through your benchmark tasks (the more you can run the better). Remember, it must be voluntary. You should get the participants to sign an informed consent form and obtain other demographic information (e.g., age, sex, education level, major, experience with your type of tasks & application, etc.). Make sure they are representative of your target customers and that they are not participants that you have used previously during this project (unless you have pre-approval from the teaching staff).

Benchmark Tasks
Your test will use the tasks that you have been using this quarter (unless we asked you to update or you decided to make changes). They should include at least 1 simple task, 1 moderate task, and 1 complex task. These tasks should give good coverage of your UI at this point; if they don’t you better redesign them.

Measures and Observations
Although it will be hard to get statistically significant bottom-line data with only five participants and a prototype, you should measure and report on some important dependent variables to get a feel for how it is done (i.e., task time, # of errors, etc.).

You will concentrate on process data in your presentation. For example, you should instruct your participant to think aloud (if co-located). You should make a log of critical incidents (both positive and negative events). For example, the user might make a mistake and you notice it or they might see something they like and say “cool.” When a critical incident occurs, write down in the log what happened and the time.

You should also record the test using a digital video camera or a phone – note the time that you start recording so that you can find your critical incidents later– as well as logging software (see below).

Procedure
You will give the participant a short demo of the system. Do not show them exactly how to perform your tasks. Just show how the system works in general and give an example of something specific that is different enough from your benchmark tasks (if necessary at all). You should write-up a script of your demo and follow the same script with each participant. If your application is so limited in terms of what is possible for a user to do that this would bias the test considerably, do not demo your application.

The participant will then be given task directions (e.g., on a single card) for the first task that tells them what they are trying to achieve, not how to do it. Make sure that you have given them enough scenario information (e.g., who they are, who their friends are, etc.) to put them into the right context for the tasks.
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When they are finished, you will give them the directions for the next task and so on. Each participant will perform all 3 tasks. You will want to keep the data separate for each task and participant.

**Location**
This study will be carried out in a field setting. That is, if your application is meant to be used outdoors, then you will test it outdoors. If your application is supposed to be used in a user’s home, then you will test it in their home. If its use would happen in a public place, you should test it in a public place. If it is a combination of settings, try in different types of places. We want to see the tradeoffs of testing in a naturalistic setting.

**Video and Clickstream Logging**
We’d like you to try integrating video and clickstream logging into your native app. For the iPhone Lookback ([https://lookback.io/](https://lookback.io/)) seems to be the best. Other possibilities are Watchsend ([https://watchsend.com/](https://watchsend.com/)) and Validately ([https://validately.com/](https://validately.com/)) for web apps. I also have gift codes for up to 3 user tests/student with [http://usertesting.com](http://usertesting.com) (they supply participants online).

**Results**
You must report your results (values of dependent variables, summaries of those values, and summaries of the process data). You should draw some conclusions with respect to your interface prototype. **You should also say how your system should change if those results hold with a larger user population.** We want to understand how you would fix your system as a result of what you observed.

**Deliverables**
**Presentation**
One member of your team will present your project during an 8-minute slide-based presentation in front of the other students and teaching staff. See the grading guidelines for information on how to structure your talk. You must make the slides available for download on your web site.

**Presentation Guidelines**
You will have 8 minutes for this presentation plus up to 5 minutes for questions. Please practice as we will grade you on how close you are to the time limit (under and over). All team members are expected to work collaboratively on the presentation, though only one team member will deliver the presentation.

**Talk Outline:**
1. Project title & team (introduce yourselves)
2. Introduction
   - Introduce the system being evaluated (1 slide) & the purpose of the experiment (1 slide)
3. Outline of Talk
4. Method
   - Participants (who -- demographics -- and how were they selected & compensated) (1 slide)
   - Apparatus (describe the equipment you used and the environment where tested) (1 slide)
   - Tasks (1 slide each) - describe each task & **what you looked for** during each task
   - Procedure (1 slide) - describe what you did and how
5. Test Measures (1 slide) - describe what you measured and why
6. Results (multiple slides) - Results of the tests (include lots of images)
7. Discussion (multiple slides)
   - what you learned from the pilot run what you might change for the “real” experiment
   - **what you might change in your interface from these results alone**
   - difficulties of running the experiment **in situ**? Any leanings particular to testing it in the wild?
8. Summary of talk.
   - What did you do? What were the key things you learned?
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Grading Criteria

Your grade will be based on the thoroughness of your experimental design, the analysis of your results, and the quality of your presentation. The presentation grading will be broken into two components: the individual grade of the presenter and a group grade for the quality of the content itself. Each bullet/grading category below will be out of 3 points (3=check+, 2=check, 1=check-, 0=missing).

Presenter’s grades  (NAME: __________________________)

- Organization
  - ___ Project & team introduction
  - ___ Introduction to the system being evaluated & the purpose of the experiment
  - ___ Overview/Outline of talk (1 slide) – don’t read this, tell it like a story
  - ___ Participants
  - ___ Apparatus & Environment
  - ___ Task & what looked for
  - ___ Procedure
  - ___ Test Measures
  - ___ Results
  - ___ Discussion
  - ___ Summary of talk

- Presentation
  - ___ Use effective slides (easy to read, understand, good use of visuals/images)
  - ___ Cover required scope in 8 mins (+ 5 minutes Q&A). Practice in advance.
  - ___ Ensure the presenter makes eye contact and projects well. (___ : ___)

Group grade  (GROUP NAME: __________________________)

- Introduction:
  - ___ clear what the system is for?
  - ___ valid reasons for running an experiment?

- Participants
  - ___ good range? demographics?
  - ___ recruiting/compensation clear?

- Apparatus & Environment
  - ___ clear on where the experiment took place and what equipment/software used?
  - ___ appropriate location?

- Tasks & Prototype
  - ___ good range?
  - ___ clear on what you were looking for?
  - ___ prototype implemented sufficiently to test?

- Procedure
  - ___ clear on what participants needed to do?

- Test Measures?
  - ___ appropriate variables recorded/Measured?

- Results?
  - ___ clear if participants succeeded?
  - ___ clear on what worked and what did not, and why?

- Discussion?
  - ___ recommendations for a larger study appropriate
  - ___ recommendations for design changes based on the results?
  - ___ recommendations for design changes good ideas?
  - ___ issues / advantages of running the experiment in situ?